

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., *et al.*,

Debtors.¹

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-23649 (RDD)

(Jointly Administered)

**AMENDED VERIFIED STATEMENT OF STEVENS & LEE, P.C.
PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY RULE 2019**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2019, Stevens & Lee, P.C. (“S&L”) makes the following representations on its own behalf and on behalf of the lawyers and law firms identified below with whom S&L is associated in these bankruptcy cases (“Cocounsel”):

1. S&L and its Cocounsel represent the following persons in their respective individual and putative capacities as proposed representatives of classes of privately insured parties who are plaintiffs and proposed class representatives in their individual and representative capacities in suits brought against Debtor-Defendant Purdue Pharma Inc. (together with its affiliate Debtors, “Purdue”) and other affiliated and non-affiliated defendants, as set forth below (and with the class members, collectively, the “Class Claimants”) in their 25 respective actions in the 25 states

¹The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrum Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Avrio Health L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF L.P. (0495), SVC Pharma L.P. (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors’ corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

identified below (the “Class Actions”), all of which have been and remain stayed in connection with *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, No. 1:17-md-2804 (N.D. Ohio) (the “MDL”):

Ronald D. Stracener, F. Kirk Hopkins, Jordan Chu, Amel Eiland, Nadja Streiter, Michael Konig, Eli Medina, Barbara Rivers, Marketing Services of Indiana, Inc., Glenn Golden, Gretta Golden, Michael Christy, Edward Grace, Debra Dawsey, Darcy Sherman, Kimberly Brand, Lou Sardella, Michael Kłodzinski, Kevin Wilk, Heather Enders, Jason Reynolds, MSI Corporation, Deborah Green-Kuchta, W. Andrew Fox, Dora Lawrence, Michael Lopez, and Zachary R. Schneider.

2. Plaintiff Ronald D. Stracener seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Alabama purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Stracener v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 19-cv-86 (S.D. Ala.).

3. Plaintiff F. Kirk Hopkins seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Arizona purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Hopkins v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-2646 (D. Ariz.).

4. Plaintiff Jordan Chu seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on California purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Chu v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-2576 (N.D. Cal.).

5. Plaintiff Amel Eiland seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Colorado purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Eiland v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-46283 (D. Colo.).

6. Plaintiff Nadja Streiter seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Connecticut purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Streiter v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-1425 (D. Conn.).

7. Plaintiff Michael Konig seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Florida purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Konig v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-61960 (S.D. Fla.).

8. Plaintiff Eli Medina seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Idaho purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Medina v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-369 (D. Idaho).

9. Plaintiff Barbara Rivers seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Illinois purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Rivers v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-3116 (N.D. Ill.).

10. Plaintiff Marketing Services of Indiana, Inc. seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Indiana purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Marketing Services of Indiana, Inc. v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-2778 (S.D. Ind.).

11. Plaintiffs Glenn Golden, Gretta Golden and Michael Christy seek to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Louisiana purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Golden et. al. v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 19-cv-1048 (E.D. La.).

12. Plaintiff Edward Grace seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Massachusetts purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Grace v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-10857 (D. Mass.).

13. Plaintiff Deborah Dawsey seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Michigan purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Dawsey v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 19-cv-94 (W.D. Mich.).

14. Plaintiff Darcy Sherman seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Minnesota purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Sherman v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-3335 (D. Minn.).

15. Plaintiff Kimberly Brand seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Missouri purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Brand v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-653 (W.D. Mo.).

16. Plaintiff Lou Sardella seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on New Jersey purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Sardella v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-8706 (D.N.J.).

17. Plaintiff Michael Kłodzinski seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on New York purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Kłodzinski v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-3927 (S.D.N.Y.).

18. Plaintiff Kevin Wilk seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on North Carolina purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Wilk v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-181 (E.D.N.C.).

19. Plaintiff Heather Enders seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Ohio purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Enders v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 19-cv-448 (S.D. Ohio).

20. Plaintiff Jason Reynolds seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Oregon purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Reynolds v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-1911 (D. Or.).

21. Plaintiff MSI Corporation seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Pennsylvania purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *MSI Corp. v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-1109 (W.D. Pa.).

22. Plaintiff Deborah Green-Kuchta seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on South Dakota purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Green-Kuchta v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-4132 (D.S.D.).

23. Plaintiff W. Andrew Fox seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Tennessee purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Fox v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-194 (E.D. Tenn.).

24. Plaintiff Dora Lawrence seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Texas purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Lawrence v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-2889 (S.D. Tex.).

25. Plaintiff Michael Lopez seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Utah purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Lopez v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 18-cv-719 (D. Utah).

26. Plaintiff Zachary R. Schneider seeks to hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Wisconsin purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Schneider v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 19-cv-611 (E.D. Wis.).

27. S&L and its Cocounsel also represent the following persons in their respective individual and putative capacities as proposed representatives of classes of privately insured parties who are plaintiffs and proposed class representatives in their individual and representative capacities in suits originally brought against Purdue and other affiliated and non-affiliated defendants, as set forth below in their three (3) respective actions in the three (3) states identified below, all of which have been and remain stayed in connection with the MDL, the automatic stay and Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting, In Part, Motion For A Preliminary Injunction (Adv. Pro. Docket No. 82), entered in the Adversary Proceeding styled *Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. v. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, et al., Adv. Pro. No. 19-08289*.²

28. Plaintiff William Taylor seeks to eventually hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Kansas purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Taylor v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 19-cv-02596 (D. Kansas).

² By agreement with Debtors' counsel, amended complaints that do not name the Purdue Debtors will be filed in these class actions.

29. Plaintiff William Stock seeks to eventually hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on Oklahoma purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Stock v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 19-cv-00526 (N.D. Okla.).

30. Plaintiff Al Marino, Inc. seeks to eventually hold Purdue accountable for the economic harm it has imposed on West Virginia purchasers of private health insurance, in the action *Al Marino, Inc. v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et. al.*, No. 19-cv-00723 (S.D. West Va.).

31. None of these plaintiffs has any “disclosable economic interest” other than as disclosed in the preceding paragraphs.

32. Other than as disclosed herein, S&L does not currently represent or claim to represent any other entity with respect to the Debtors’ cases, and does not hold any claim against or interest in the Debtors or their estates.

33. Certain of the Cocounsel do represent other entities in actions also currently stayed in connection with the MDL. They and the parties they represent are identified in the attached Exhibit A.

34. The undersigned hereby certifies that this amended verified statement is true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, and subject to the penalties for perjury provided for in 28 U.S.C. § 1746. S&L reserves its right to further revise, amend and/or supplement this amended verified statement as may be necessary or appropriate. This statement is provided without prejudice to the right of S&L and its clients to file any further statements, claims, adversary complaints, documents, notices or pleadings in these chapter 11 cases.

Dated: October 21, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Nicholas F. Kajon
Nicholas F. Kajon
nfk@stevenslee.com
Constantine D. Pourakis
cp@stevenslee.com

Stevens & Lee, P.C.
485 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 319-8500
Fax: (212) 319-8505

James Young (admitted *pro hac vice*)
jyoung@ForThePeople.com
Morgan & Morgan, P.A.
Complex Litigation Group
76 S. Laura St., Suite 1100
Jacksonville, FL 32202
Tel: 904.361.0012

Juan R. Martinez (admitted *pro hac vice*)
juanmartinez@ForThePeople.com
Morgan & Morgan, P.A.
Complex Litigation Group
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, Florida 33602
Tel: 813.223.5505

Attorneys for Ronald D. Stracener, F. Kirk Hopkins, Jordan Chu, Amel Eiland, Nadja Streiter, Michael Konig, Eli Medina, Barbara Rivers, Marketing Services of Indiana, Inc., Glenn Golden, Gretta Golden, Michael Christy, Edward Grace, Debra Dawsey, Darcy Sherman, Kimberly Brand, Lou Sardella, Michael Kłodzinski, Kevin Wilk, Heather Enders, Jason Reynolds, MSI Corporation, Deborah Green-Kuchta, W. Andrew Fox, Dora Lawrence, Michael Lopez, Zachary R. Schneider, William Taylor, William Stock and Al Marino, Inc., and the Putative Classes

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on October 21, 2019, he caused a true copy of the foregoing *AMENDED VERIFIED STATEMENT OF STEVENS & LEE, P.C. PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY RULE 2019* to be served electronically upon all parties in interest through the Court's CM/ECF System and pursuant to the Order Establishing Certain Notice, Case Management, and Administrative Procedures.

/s/ Nicholas F. Kajon

Nicholas F. Kajon

Exhibit A

Cocounsel Actions

Morgan & Morgan has filed the following action:

Martin v. Purdue Therapeutics, Inc., CV 2018-013354 (Maricopa County).

Morgan & Morgan has filed actions against either or both Purdue Pharma, Inc. and Purdue Therapeutics, Inc. on behalf of the following:

Oklahoma

The County Commission of Mayes County
The County Commission of Rogers County
The County Commission of Nowata County
The County Commission of Creek County
The County Commission of Washington County
The County Commission of Okmulgee County

Kansas:

The County Commission of Crawford County
The County Commission of Neosho County

West Virginia:

Town of Kermit
City of Welch
Town of West Hamlin
McDowell County
Clay County
Lincoln County
Mercer County
Town of Chapmanville
Mingo County
Town of Chapmanville
Town of Hamlin
City of Williamson
Town of Gilbert:

Missouri:

City of Springfield

Florida:

City of Deerfield Beach
City of Hallandale Beach
City of Pembroke Pines
City of Miramar
City of Lauderhill
City of Ft. Lauderdale
Monroe County